5444 - Pathology requesting in suspected Basal Cell Carcinoma, Squamous Cell Carcinoma, Melanoma

5547 - Adherence to RCPath Core Dataset Reporting in Cases of Cutaneous Malignant Melanoma

Dr Graeme Watson (Academic F2 Doctor) Dr Ursula Earl (Audit Supervisor) 5444 - Pathology requesting in suspected Pathology requesting in suspected Basal Cell Carcinoma, Squamous Cell Carcinoma, Melanoma

Dr Graeme Watson (Academic F2 Doctor) Dr Ursula Earl (Audit Supervisor)

Aim

 In hospital requests for histopathological diagnosis for BCC, SCC and MM generated by Dermatology and Plastic Surgery colleagues.

 How closely do the clinical details supplied on the current request form match up with the UK National Histopathology Request Form for Skin Biopsies (UKHRF)

Method

- Sample size: 180 (60 per cancer sub-type)
- Time Period: 2014
- First Audit
- Quality Assurance
- Retrospective
- Computer Search of South Tees Pathology SNOMED database and DART document storage system (for scanned request forms)

The UK National Histopathology Request form for skin biopsies

Date of surgical procedure					Please attach patient details				
Name of surgeon				_					
Clinical diagnosis: free text				Spe	Grade of surgeon: Nurse, ecialist trainee, Consultant, Hospital Practitioner, Other				
Mandatory for Clinician to complete:	First	Second	Third	Fourth	* 1				
Site Code as per image (insert LUL etc.)									
Clinical Diagnosis (select either BCC, SCC, Melanoma, Atypical Mole, other tumour or other). For inflammatory lesions add clinical details as free text.									
Clinical size of lesion sampled (max diameter) (mm)									
Intention of the surgeon (select biopsy, exclution or curative curattage					8				
Procedure (select cureitage, shave biopsy, punch, inclaional biopsy or excision)					A Will				
For turnours give measured surgical clinical margin (mm)									
is this a recurrent lumour?	101	3174	YN	VIN	<u> 28</u> -				
is the pateint immunocompromised?	-				Please mark site of samples taken on the above images				
is this a twoow arising in areas of radiation or thermal injury, chronic draining sinules, chronic ulcers, chronic inflammation or Bowen's Disease	YN	-	YN	YN	made up of the number in the horizontal grid and the lett from the vertical grid (e.g. for a tumour in the middle of the nose that might be code 8E). Where a lesion lies across grid lines then that grid reference in which the greater pp of the tumour lies should be used OD if the being impact				
Is this a turnour arising in a genetically predisposed individual?	YN				on a grey shaded area or on the lips then that code should be used. Where the tumour is on the marked lips then the code LP should be used. For tumours outside				

map e.g. a tumour on the left lower armis LLA).

Free text

Results

- Three types of skin cancer
- Ten items on the form
- Will present breakdown per cancer subtype

Site of Lesion Stated

Anatomic site, left or right, upper or lower etc







MM

BCC

Differential Diagnosis Offered

For example ?BCC, SCC, MM Best practice to include a diagnosis. If there is concern about ?MM, state it on the form

Lesions identified as suspected melanoma are processed in the lab differently and are fully sectioned by BMS staff.

>Unless stated as TWO WEEK rule or MM, specimen is processed as routine, and not fully sectioned



BCC

SCC

MM





Clinical Size Given

Size of lesion stated in millimetres.







MM

BCC

Intention of Surgery

67%

BCC

SCC





Is this a biopsy for diagnosis? Is this an excision with curative intent? Is this a wider excision of biopsy proven disease?

Procedure Stated

Punch biopsy, curettage, elliptical excision etc



BCC





Measured Clinical Margin Stated

Size of margin stated in millimetres; applicable to excision samples only









Stated if recurrent tumour OR NOT

It should be stated if this a recurrent tumour or not







MM

BCC

Chronic injury at skin site stated Y or N

Size of lesion stated in millimetres.







MM

BCC

Stated if Immunocompromised Yes or No

Size of lesion stated in millimetres.







MM

BCC

Genetic link known Yes or No

Size of lesion stated in millimetres.







SCC

BCC



Conclusions

- In all cases details supplied most frequently are <u>Clinical Site (99%)</u> and <u>Clinical Diagnosis</u> (88%).
- <u>The clinical size of lesions are recorded in less</u> <u>than 10% of total cases audited</u>.
- A measured clinical excision margin (for excision samples) is given in <u>40% of all cases</u>.

- Plastics request forms are coded for procedure performed, including nature of specimen (biopsy vs. excision vs. incision biopsy) so tend to record clinical procedure better than dermatology forms.
- There is a distinction to be made between surgical intent i.e. biopsy vs. excision, and procedure performed i.e. curettage, punch biopsy, incision biopsy, excision.

Suggestion 1: Electronic request

Pros:

Guarantees acquisition of minimum data required from the excising clinician Same process for dermatology and plastics Currently in use by radiology for requests Cheap Audit trail Easy to adapt or change as per guidelines

Cons:

Junk characters are used to fill the request

Incorrect information could still be logged electronically (wrong consultant) Availability of computer terminal that can handle the Web ICE system Resistance to change

Suggestion 2: Enhanced Paper Request

Pros:

Better than current request form Single form for dermatology and plastics

Cons:

Perception of a form with too many bits to fill in, that will go unfilled anyway Persistence of old request forms until they have run out Need for coding details for procedure Resistance to change

SKIN SPECIMENS FOR HISTOLOGY

Issued from Division of Pathology, The James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough Enguirles: 01642 854383									
Tick Here If Private Patient	Urgent – Da	te Required	Referred Under Cancer Target						
JCUH Plastic Theatre (JCPTH) Dermatology OPD (JCDO)	Elestics Mr Allison (ALLK) Mr Dunkin (DUNC) Dr Erdinger (SRDK)	Dermetoloov Dr J Azed (AZAJ) Dr A Cermichael (CARAJ)							
WARD 35 MOT (JC35)	Mr Jones (JONAP)	Dr P Cousen (COUP)							
FHN (FHOPD)	Mr Muir (TM) Mr Riddieui (2016)	Dr 5 Derne (DARS) Dr 8 ellie (BLR)							
RPCH (RPCHP)	Mr Remenethen	Dr S Neterejen (NATSI)							
ONE LIFE (ONELP)	(RAME)	Dr D Seukeren (SSUD)							
	Mr Alexidoe (Alexi)								
EXTRA REPORT COPIES TO:									
PATIENT DETAILS									
NH5 NO:									
SURNAME:									
ADORESS:									
005:									
DATE/TIME TAKEN	USER ID NUMBER								
		BANDING							
PREVIOUS HIST/CYT REFERENCES		PATHOLOGIST							
			SAND A						
Nature of Specimen:									
Clinical Diagnosis:	5AND 5 (1 OR 2)								
			BAND C						
Anatomic Site;			REPORTING						
Clinical Maximum Diameter of lesio	PATHOLOGIST (INITIALS)								
Surgical Intention: Biopsy Ex	cision Curative C	urettage	She						
Procedure Performed: Curettage Shave Biopsy P	unch Incisional E	Biopsy Excision	DISSECTOR						
Measured surgical margin:			CUT-UP ASSISTANT						
Is this a recurrent tumour? YE	S NO N/A		HSREQ						
Is tumour on damaged skin (give d	CPRES (NAKED EYE)								
Genetically predisposed to skin tumour	EMBEDDOR								
Patient Immunocompromised?	YES NO N/	ч. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	MODULE NO:						
Closure (Please Ring): Direct Fla	ap or graft Shave	Punch 2º Intent	QUALITY						
PRINT NAME OF OPERATING SURG	ASSESSOR								
			REPORT TYPED & DATE						
REQUESTOR'S SIGNATURE									

5547 - Adherence to RCPath Core Dataset Reporting in Cases of Cutaneous Malignant Melanoma

Dr Graeme Watson (Academic F2 Doctor) Dr Ursula Earl (Audit Supervisor)

Aim

 To compare current practice in the reporting of MM against the RCPath core dataset guidance for MM

Method

- Sample size: 60 malignant melanomas (excision)
- Time Period: 2014
- First Audit
- Quality Assurance
- Retrospective
- Computer Search of pathology SNOMED database to generate cases, subsequent interrogation of WebICE to view reports and compare against RCPath core dataset

Melanoma Subtype Stated (Yes or No)

- <u>Subtype</u>: Lentigo Maligna Superficial Spreading Nodular Acral Lentignous Not otherwise specified
- Other (Specify)

Melanoma Subtype Stated



Breslow Thickness Given (Yes or No)

<u>Breslow Thickness</u> >A principle T stage parameter, and important prognostic factor

Breslow Thickness Given



Ulceration Stated (Yes or No)

<u>Ulceration</u> >A principle T stage parameter

Ulceration stated



Mitotic Index given (per mm2)

Mitotic Index

New in AJCC7 Hot spot of mitotic activity identified, mitotic count per mm2. Previously given as number of mitotic figures per high powered field



Microsatellites stated (Yes or No)

Microsatellites

Microsatellite/in-transit metastasis is a principal pN stage parameter in AJCC7. Its presence signifies stage pN2c

The presence of satellites, microsatellites and intransit metastasis are associated with increased locoregional recurrence, a decreased disease-free survival rate and decreased overall survival.



Perineural Involvement Stated (Yes or No)

- The definition of neurotropism
- Includes the presence of melanoma
- around nerve fibres (perineural invasion) or within
- fibres (intraneural invasion).
- Perineural invasion/neurotropism correlates with a higher recurrence rate.
- This is particularly common in desmoplastic malignant melanoma (so-called desmoplastic neurotropic melanoma)

100%

Perineural Involvement Stated

Growth Phase Stated (Yes or No)

In basic terminology, malignant melanoma may be in situ (intra-epithelial or intra-epidermal) or invasive

Growth phase stated



Tumour invading lymphocytes (Stated Yes or No)

An important prognositc indicator in AJCC7. The presence of lymphovascular invasion correlates with a worse survival in melanoma

Tumour invading lymphocytes



Regression (Stated Yes or No)

Debate continues as to its exact prognostic value.

Some evidence correlates regression with a worse prognosis (especially in so-called thin melanomas), whereas other evidence has indicated a better prognosis.

Tumours with greater than 75% regression are said to have a much worse prognosis.



In Situ Margin (Stated Yes or No)

In Situ Margin Stated



Invasive (deep) margin (stated yes or no)

Invasive Margin Stated



Conclusions

 This audit suggests that the use of the RCPath dataset for MM is being well-implemented currently

Conclusions

- The reports where data were not included were also "discussed/reviewed by skin pathology lead" prior to discussion at MDT
- "Mitotic index (per mm²)": has been formally given as per high powered field; a previous audit set out to change this practice in 2014, and an improvement is seen here
- "TNM stage" is a prognostic indicator of disease (AJCC TNM). It was completed in 85% of case on the report.

Suggestions

- Electronic tools exist to aid in report generation
- Increase awareness of tools in department

Acknowledgements

- Dr Ursula Earl (Audit Supervisor)
- Jacqui Richards (Lab Manager)
- Bethany Sexton (Healthcare Science Support Worker)